

Aiden Bordner  
1400 McAllister St  
San Francisco CA 94115

Sep 1st 2018

Via ECFS  
Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: In the Matter of Petition of USTelecom for Forbearance Pursuant to  
47 U.S.C. Section 160(c); WC Docket No. 18-141; Category 1**

Dear FCC,

I'm a long-term customer of Sonic, one of the highest customer-rated ISPs in the United States, locally owned and operated in Northern California. I rely on UNE availability to receive this service a service that I would be forced to purchase from AT&T without any competition otherwise. If I didn't purchase this service from AT&T, my only other option for any consumer internet access would be Comcast. UNEs are what gives me an option beyond the two duopolic national carriers.

This is in San Francisco, one of the densest and most economically vibrant cities in America, that is symbolized as the center of both entrepreneurship and technology. In this market, AT&T has all but given up providing nothing but basic DSL access to most consumers, and only FTTN where it's convenient. They have no plans for residential fiber.

Competition is what makes the American marketplace the most successful on earth. The only thing keeping AT&T at all on their toes is the promise that Sonic is growing a loyal and satisfied customer base with competitive DSL in which to grow their home-grown fiber optic service. The increasing pressure to compete 1Gbps symmetric home broadband at \$60/month is the only competitive force AT&T faces in this market. I chose Sonic over AT&T because they continue to invest in making cheaper, faster internet happen for San Francisco, while AT&T and Comcast rest on their laurels and the existing plant and infrastructure, all the while increasing prices.

The USTelecom study is a sham, and either willfully or erringly ignores the thousands of UNE customers like myself. I urge you strongly to ignore this study and save competition.

Aiden Bordner